SUID:	
-------	--

Peter J. Wilcoxen Economics for Public Decisions Department of Public Administration The Maxwell School, Syracuse University

Exam 2 Fall 2016

DO NOT OPEN THIS EXAM UNTIL YOU ARE TOLD TO DO SO.

Instructions

- 1. Write your SUID in the upper right corner of this exam. Do NOT write your name.
- 2. SHOW ALL YOUR WORK. Answers without supporting work will receive little or no credit.
- 3. There are 72 points possible on the exam and you'll have 80 minutes to work on it. Budget your time accordingly.
- 4. Do all your work on this exam. If you need extra space, write on the backs of the pages. However, if you do write an answer on the back of a page, *be sure you've noted that near the question*.
- 5. Some formulas for areas:

$$A = \frac{1}{2}bh \qquad A = \left(\frac{b_1 + b_2}{2}\right)h$$

6. Some algebraic relationships for exponents:

$$(AB)^{c} = A^{c}B^{c} \qquad A^{c}A^{d} = A^{c+d} \qquad \frac{1}{\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)^{c}} = \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{c} \qquad (A^{c})^{d} = A^{cd}$$

- - - -

7. The general form of the Cobb-Douglas utility function and its demand equations:

$$U = X^g Y^{1-g} \qquad \qquad X = \frac{gM}{P_{\chi}} \qquad \qquad Y = \frac{(1-g)M}{P_{\chi}}$$

Question 1 (12 points)

Venezuela has been going through a catastrophic food shortage brought about in part by price controls. This question examines the situation in the market for cornmeal, a staple of the Venezuelan diet. Suppose that in the absence of price controls the equilibrium price of a kilogram (kg) of cornmeal would be \$0.80 (US dollars, for convenience) and the equilibrium quantity would be 2 billion kg. However, the price control limits the price to \$0.20 per kg. The supply elasticity of cornmeal is believed to be 1 and the demand elasticity is known to be -0.25.

(a) *12 points*. Please determine: □ the amount of cornmeal on the market with the price control in effect; □ the changes in CS and PS caused by the policy; □ the DWL it creates; □ and indicate the likely black market price under the policy.

Question 2 (12 points)

One of the households in the table to the right has Cobb-Douglas preferences. In the remainder of the exam, this will be referred to as the CD household.

HH	Year	Income	Px	Py	Χ	Y
А	2015	2880	24	16	48	108
	2016	3192	20	18	75	94
В	2015	2400	24	16	40	90
	2016	3600	20	18	72	120
С	2015	3640	24	16	65	130
	2016	3360	20	18	60	120

(a) Please: \Box determine which one is the CD household and calculate its value of g; \Box draw a diagram illustrating the CD household's 2016 equilibrium; and then \Box *derive* the expenditure function for the CD household. (Be sure to show all the steps, not just the final result.)

Question 3 (12 points)

Now suppose that in 2016 the government wishes to reduce the CD household's consumption of X and raise its consumption of Y. To do that, it imposes a \$4 tax on X and \$6 subsidy on Y. To help cover the cost of the subsidy, it also imposes a "lump sum" tax of \$300 on the household (that is, the household's income falls by \$300 under the policy). You may assume the supplies of X and Y are perfectly elastic so Px would rise to \$24 and Py would fall to \$12.

(a) Please calculate: □ the new values of X and Y under the policy; □ the overall effect on the government's budget; and □ the CV. Then indicate: □ whether the policy breaks even; and □ whether the household is better or worse off.

Question 4 (12 points)

One of the households in the table to the right regards X and Y as perfect complements and always buys d units of good Y for each unit of good X. In the remainder of the exam, this will be referred to as the PC household.

HH	Year	Income	Px	Py	Χ	Y
А	2015	2880	24	16	48	108
	2016	3192	20	18	75	94
В	2015	2400	24	16	40	90
	2016	3600	20	18	72	120
С	2015	3640	24	16	65	130
	2016	3360	20	18	60	120

(a) Please: \Box *derive* the PC household's demand equations for X and Y in terms of *d*, Px, Py and income M (be sure to show the steps involved, don't just write down the demand equations); and \Box determine which one of the households in the table has perfect complements preferences and calculate the value of *d*.

Question 4, continued

Now suppose that in 2016 government imposes a slight variation on the policy from Question 3: a \$4 tax on X raising its price to \$24 (same as before), a \$6 subsidy on Y lowering its price to \$12 (same as before), and a \$336 lump sum tax (slightly different).

(c) Please compute: \Box the PC household's new equilibrium; \Box the overall effect on the government's budget; \Box the CV; and \Box the change in SS. Finally, show the new equilibrium in a well-labeled diagram.

Question 5 (12 points)

A household buys two goods, X and Y, and its preferences can be represented by the utility function shown below. Also shown are the household's demand equations and its expenditure function. Be careful about subscripts: the denominators in the demand equations are different.

$$U = (X - 50)^{0.5} (Y + 50)^{0.5} \qquad X = 50 + \frac{0.5 * (M - 50P_x + 50P_y)}{P_x}$$
$$M = 50P_x - 50P_y + 2 * U * P_x^{0.5} P_y^{0.5} \qquad Y = -50 + \frac{0.5 * (M - 50P_x + 50P_y)}{P_y}$$

Initially, Px=\$5, Py=\$5 and M=\$1000. The government is considering a policy that would place a \$1 tax on X while also giving the household a \$10 cash transfer. The supply of X is perfectly elastic and Px would rise to \$6.

(a) Please calculate: □ the initial equilibrium before the policy is enacted (both X and Y);
□ the new value of X with the policy in place (it's OK to skip the new value of Y); □ the net tax revenue the household pays; □ the CV for the policy; and □ the policy's income and substitution effects for the X good.

Question 6 (12 points)

Now consider a second household with the same preferences as in Question 5 but with higher income: M=\$1500. For clarity, call this household "H" and the household from Question 5 "L". H and L face the same initial prices and would be subject to the same tax on X. However, the government wants to make sure the overall policy isn't regressive. Since H has higher income than L, the government is considering levying a \$10 lump sum tax on it instead of giving it the \$10 cash transfer L receives (essentially it would make the transfer subject to means testing).

(a) Please calculate: \Box H's initial equilibrium before the policy is enacted (both X and Y); \Box H's new value of X with the policy in place (it's OK to skip the new value of Y); and \Box the net tax revenue H pays. Then: \Box indicate whether the government was successful in keeping the policy from being regressive (be sure to provide quantitative evidence). *Extra credit*: \Box use the initial consumption of X by L and H (before the policy) to determine the income elasticity of X for these preferences; and \Box discuss how the elasticity relates to the regressivity issue.